Roads Liaison Group Research Project Proposal

Project title	Assessment of Dry Stone Retaining Walls
17	
Sponsoring RLG Board	Bridges Board
Why is this research needed?	No standard exists for the assessment of dry stone walls that can be universally used by Highway Authorities. Substantial lengths of such walls exist across the UK without clear guidance on how they should be assessed. BD 34 Clause 3.1c states "that dry stone retaining walls providing structural support to a road shall be assessed"
How will it be used? What are the key objectives and intended outcomes of the project?	To provide advice to Engineers engaged on assessment work to cover the management of dry stone retaining walls including advice on the inspection, monitoring and maintenance of such walls. Strengthening techniques as distinct, from replacement, also to be considered.
What are the project outputs? How will the project results be disseminated (website, report, seminar, code of practice)?	Provision of a Best Practice Guide/COP for the assessment of Dry Stone Walls
Cost estimate (£k excluding VAT)	£60k
Suggested start date	March 2004
Duration of project	9 months
	<u> </u>
What is the project scope?	Initial literature review required Best Practice

What is the project scope?	Initial literature review required. Best Practice
Applicable only to certain	Guide/COP will be applicable to all road
countries, authority types, or road	classifications and available to OO's and other
types, for example?	Highway Authorities.
Briefly set out the proposed project methodology	Qualitative assessment criteria to be developed to assess the likelihood of such walls becoming unserviceable. Risk ranking matrix possible option.

How does this proposal relate to	Numerous pieces of Research in this area already
previous research (including	carried out. Literature review essential aspect of
literature reviews)?	proposal.
Has this project been brought	No
forward from a previous year? If	
so, what has changed?	
Are there potential financial	No potential financial partners presently
partners? Who? What proportion	identified.
of the cost might they meet?	
Would this project be best	This project can be managed by a sub-group of
managed by Dft or by another	the BOF
body? If not DfT, by whom?	
If this project likely to justify	TRL have already done some work in this area
single tender action? If so, which	and are best placed to be the Consultant on this
organisation?	project. Single action tender considered
	applicable in this case.
Would this project benefit from	There are no obvious benefits from OJEU notice
OJEU notice or other open tender	
procurement? If so, how?	

Originating author	Ronnie Wilson
Address	DRD(NI)
	Roads Service Agency
	Clarence Court
	10-18 Adelaide Street
	Belfast BT2 8GB
Phone	028 90 540398
E-mail	Ronnie.Wilson@drdni.gov.uk

Board priority score	
RLG priority score	