

C

0

nd m

•

σ

an

S 0

Ŭ

Ŭ 5 σ D

0

S

Ū S

S

σ

S

**structure** 

<mark>sting</mark>

exi

and

ev

Ž

of

tanding

S

Ð

σ

3

D

**(**)

O

σ

tai

0

σ

## **CURRENT POSITION**

1 - DATA		<ul> <li>ata is:</li> <li>Unreliable, Inconsistent, Poorly maintained</li> <li>Manually collected and manipulated</li> <li>Held in different formats</li> <li>Largely paper based</li> </ul>
2 - PEOPLE		Poor collaboration Inconsistent training, qualification and certification Education does not meet the needs of industry
3 - PROCESS	•	No industry standard processes Current practice does not promorte knowledge sharing and collaboration between Current processes do not encourage innovation and continuous improvement
4 - CUSTOMERS	•	Customer needs/requirements do not always drive decision making Lack of understanding of critical infrastructure and impact on customers Societal impact not known or considered
5 – STANDARDS, CODES AND GUIDANC	•	Review and improvement cycles very long and incompatible with technology speed Rigid and inflexible Do not promote innovation and continuous improvement Prescriptive rather than performance driven
6 - PROCUREMENT	•	Inadequate technical input to procurement process Lack of KPI's Inconsistent and fragmented insurance requirements Inconsistent approach to warranties and guarantees Prescriptive rather than performance based procurement
7 - COMMUNICATIONS	•	Lack of industry collaboration Information provided to customers and stakeholders is inconsistent Lessons learnt not communicated
8 - DESIGN	•	Design and construction activities undertaken in isolation leadignto abortive work, etc. Lack of maintenance consideration during design Design and modelling undertaken as separate activities Design standards and codes prescriptive and overly conservative Gaps in design standards/codes for newer materials e.g. UHPC, FRP; slow to respon innovation
9 – MATERIALS AND COMPONENTS		Dumb materials unable to provide feedback on performance, condition or problem Long time to adopt new materials into use e.g. FRP
10 - CONSTRUCTION		Design and construction activities not aligned or jointly considered Poor, inconsistent quality provided Slow on-site construction Slow take up of new construction techniques e.g. 3D printing
11 – INSPECTION AND MONITORING	•	Antiquated inspection reporting (paper based not visual) Subjective and inconsistent reporting of defects Long intervals between inspection that cannot account for real time changes Inconsistent approach across industry No definitive placement for sensors to monitor condition/deterioration of structure
12 - ASSESSMENT	•	Actual load capacity not known Spare load capacity not known Remaining life of structure not known e.g fatigue life consumed Material and component deterioration models unrealistic
13 - INTERVENTION		Optimal intervention times not known Lack of modelling to assess impact of undertaking/not undertaking interventions
14 - SAFETY	•	Industry safety record currently very good, but still too many deaths and injuries

## **BRIDGE OWNERS FORUM – GRAND CHALLENGES**

## CHALLENGES

# **ACTIONS/FIRST STEPS**



### LONG TERM **ASPIRATION OR GOAL**

bust data to facilitate efficient decision making and

ompetency aligned to tasks. mpetent, qualified, adaptable, skilled and available rkforce

ficient processes aligned across industry. imise risk.

lighted customers. ro complaints

tandards, codes and guidance that is aligned across dustry and rapidly adaptable to changing requirements

> exible procurement that balances quality/finance. esponsive to meet industry advances and encourages nnovation and continuous improvement. upports whole life approach to asset management.

Clear, consistent and simply understood reporting to ustomers and stakeholders.

Illy automated and modular design using graphical odels/interface.

Naterials and components that are durable, maintenance ree, sustainable, recyclable, minimise whole life cost with zero defects. Components that inform you when they need replacing.

afe construction with consistent, predictable quality at inimum cost, disruption and environmental impact.

ive condition data collected and analysed autonomously th no on-site activity

nstantaneous loading on a structure. Known spare load capacity that automatically compensates for changes in condition, environmental conditions etc.

edictable life expectancy and therefore known point of ervention. Known life consumed/remaining.

ero killed or seriously injured

 $\mathbf{\Omega}$ ш 2 J  $\mathbf{\Omega}$